| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| | 10/767,480 | RUBIN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | BEN C. WANG | 2192 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) Mr. Joseph T. Cygan (reg. no. 50,937). | (3) | |
| (2) <u>BEN C. WANG</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>1 June 2009</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 8, 14, and 19 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Dord III | | |
| Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, 14, and 19 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting 'write mask' limitations.

Mr. Cygan authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.